

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/838,045		04/18/2001	Donald J. Mischo	MISCHO-1	2957
20606	7590	07/15/2003			
KEITH FR			EXAMINER		
401 WEST STATE STREET SUITE 200 POCKEOND H. (1101)				NGUYEN, TUAN N	
ROCKFOR	ROCKFORD, IL 61101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3653	
				DATE MAILED: 07/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

3653

Tuán Nguyen

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	0 >
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE the (3) MONTH(S) FROM
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm	
be considered timely.	days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut communication. 	tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	II, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). r the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	4/21/03
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	, ,
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	ce except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is a parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4)X Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) Claim(s) 10-44	is/are allowed.
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requiremen
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	
10) The drawing(s) filed onis	s/are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: all approved by disapproved.
12) \Box The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) \square All b) \square .Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International B *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domes	
Attachment(s) 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO 892)	191 Lateraina Surrena (OTO 412) Page Note:
15 Notice of Meterences Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413):Paper No(s)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/838,045 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

1. The substitute specification filed on April 21, 2003 has been approved and entered.

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 5, line 11, "course" should read --coarse--.

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 10-15, 21-29, 35 and 39-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chiovitti.

Chiovitti discloses a method and an apparatus for processing and recycling used and manufacturing scrap asphalt shingle material comprising a means 50 for collecting the shingle material; a means 54 for shredding the material into a first maximum size; a means 94 for separating the shredded material into fine and coarse material; and a means for forwarding the fine and coarse material into a first and second finished processing line, respectively. Chiovitti further has a means 124 or 62 for filtering material between the shredder and the means for separating the material. Chiovitti also shows a screen 42.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/838,045

Art Unit: 3653

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 16-20, 30-34, 36-38, 43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiovitti.

Chiovitti has been discussed in paragraph 4 above. However, Chiovitti does not disclose the ratio range of the fine material in volume and weight and does not disclose the size range of fine material and the first maximum size.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the material of Chiovitti to have such claimed ranges since it has been held that discovering an optimum or workable ranges involve only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

8. Applicant's arguments filed on April 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,045

Art Unit: 3653

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Tuan Nguyen 10.

at telephone number (703) 308-3664.

TUMIN NOUTE 7/14/03

Page 4

tnn,

July 14, 2003.